To: Terry, Sara[Terry.Sara@epa.gov]

Cc: Ashley, Jackie[Ashley.Jackie@epa.gov]; Mathias, Scott[Mathias.Scott@epa.gov]; Jones,

Rhea[Jones.Rhea@epa.gov]; Wayland, Richard[Wayland.Richard@epa.gov]

From: Hemby, James

Sent: Wed 3/2/2016 9:47:43 PM

Subject: Re: CONGRESSIONAL REQUEST: For phone call w/ Sen. McCaskill's staff on SO2 NAAQS

proposed designation (Franklin County, MO)

Probably need Chet, not me, on this as he has been the AQAD lead.

On Mar 2, 2016, at 4:05 PM, Johnson, Yvonne W < <u>Johnson. Yvonnew@epa.gov</u>> wrote:

Sara: To keep things at a high level I would suggest Scott Mathias with CC: Rhea Jones for AQPD and James Hemby for AQAD. There were quite a few people from AQAD and AQPD involved but I will defer to Scott and James to decide if they want to include more staff. Please note that Scott is out on Friday. For Region 7, probably Mike Jay.

Thank you,

Yvonne W. Johnson

Special Assistant to the Director

Air Quality Policy Division

Office of Air Quality Planning & Standards

U.S. Environmental Protection Agency

919-541-3921

johnson.yvonnew@epa.gov

From: Terry, Sara

Sent: Wednesday, March 02, 2016 3:12 PM

To: Johnson, Yvonne W < <u>Johnson. Yvonnew@epa.gov</u>>; Jones, Rhea

<<u>Jones.Rhea@epa.gov</u>>

Cc: Ashley, Jackie < Ashley. Jackie @epa.gov >

Subject: CONGRESSIONAL REQUEST: For phone call w/ Sen. McCaskill's staff on SO2

NAAQS proposed designation (Franklin County, MO)

Importance: High

I assume we'd want Region 7 on the phone as the main speaker? Who from OAQPS should be on?

Sara

From: Davis, Matthew

Sent: Wednesday, March 02, 2016 2:57 PM

To: Terry, Sara < Terry.Sara@epa.gov>; Mills, Kathy < Mills.Kathy@epa.gov>

Cc: Sanders, LaTonya < Sanders. Latonya @epa.gov>

Subject: Request for phone call w/ Sen. McCaskill's staff on SO2 NAAQS proposed

designation (Franklin County, MO)

Sara, Kathy,

I hope you're doing well on this blustery day. Today, we got a request from Sen. McCaskill's DC office for a phone call to discuss the SO2 designation for Franklin County, MO. I believe the public comment period is currently open on EPA's proposed decision to classify Franklin County as non-attainment, as opposed to following the state proposed designation as unclassifiable. The staffer would like to hear about EPA's reasoning around the designation and why we relied on modeling instead of the (new?) air monitors in the area to make the designation. I believe the state looked to the monitors in making their unclassifiable recommendation.

He'd be interested in having a call late this week or early next week. Let me know who you think would be best to have on the line and I can coordinate with them about the timing.

Thanks,

Matthew

Some background that the staffer has perhaps seen or been pointed to by constituent groups:

http://news.stlpublicradio.org/post/preliminary-epa-designation-says-labadie-coal-plant-exceeds-federal-pollution-standard

https://www3.epa.gov/airquality/sulfurdioxide/designations/round2/07 MO resp.pdf

http://news.stlpublicradio.org/post/missouri-regulators-unable-say-whether-air-near-amerens-labadie-power-plant-safe-breathe

Summary excerpt from the MO submission to EPA on 9/24/2015:

Ameren Labadie Energy Center

For the area surrounding the Ameren Labadie Energy Center, the Air Program recommends an

unclassifiable area designation. Our recommendation is based on varying modeling results

showing both violations and no violations of the SO₂ standard around Labadie, depending on the

options and inputs chosen. In addition to these modeling evaluations of Labadie, preliminary data

from new ambient SO₂ monitors near the plant is available. Since the start of operation in April

2015, these monitors have been measuring SO₂ concentrations below the 1-hour SO₂ standard of

75 ppb. A new state statute, Section 643.650, RSMo, (SB 445 and HB 92 from the 2015 legislative

session), became effective August 28, 2015. Section 643.650, RSMo, directs the department to

consider SO₂ monitoring data for sources that choose to monitor to characterize their air quality.

Though the dataset from Labadie's new SO₂ monitors is limited, we must consider

it, consistent

with state law. Because it cannot be determined based on available information whether the area is

or is not meeting the 1-hour SO₂ standard, the Air Program recommends an unclassifiable

designation for the area near Labadie. In addition to the Air Program's modeling evaluation and

review of available SO₂ monitoring data, we are including modeling analyses from Ameren

Missouri and Washington University Environmental Law Clinic that we received during the public

comment period as further support for the unclassifiable designation around Labadie. These

analyses are in Appendix G.

Matthew H. Davis

Air Team, Office of Congressional Affairs

Office of Congressional and Intergovernmental Relations

Office of the Administrator, U.S. EPA

1200 Pennsylvania Ave NW, MC 1301A

Washington, DC 20460

(202) 564-1267